# **Inorganic Chemistry**

# A FRET Enzyme-Based Probe for Monitoring Hydrogen Sulfide

Maria Strianese,<sup>\*,†</sup> Gottfried J. Palm,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Stefano Milione,<sup>†</sup> Olaf Kühl,<sup>‡</sup> Winfried Hinrichs,<sup>‡</sup> and Claudio Pellecchia<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica e Biologia, Università degli Studi di Salerno, via Ponte Don Melillo, I-84084 Fisciano (Sa), Italy <sup>‡</sup>Institute for Biochemistry, University of Greifswald, Felix-Hausdorff Strasse 4, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Fluorescently labeled cobalt peptide deformylase (Co-PDF) can be efficiently used as a fluorescenceresonance-energy-transfer-based sensing device for hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ). The proof of concept of our sensor system is substantiated by spectroscopic, structural, and theoretical results. Monohydrogen sulfide coordination to Co-PDF and Ni-PDF was verified by X-ray crystallography. Density functional theory calculations were performed to gain insight into the characteristics of the coordination adduct between  $H_2S$  and the cobalt cofactor in Co-PDF.

H ydrogen sulfide  $(H_2S)$  is among the oldest and simplest of molecules whose reaction chemistry has very recently attracted attention from several research groups.<sup>1</sup> For hundreds of years, it has been known solely as a harmful gas.<sup>2</sup> More recently,  $H_2S$  has emerged as the "third gaseous transmitter" in biology, along with nitric oxide and carbon monoxide.<sup>3,4</sup>

Selective tracking of this small molecule in physiological conditions is particularly relevant to elucidate its complex contributions to both healthy and disease states. The challenge calls for a new generation of biocompatible sensing devices to assess endogenous concentrations of  $H_2S$ .<sup>5</sup>

Very recently, fluorescence-based systems for  $H_2S$  detection have been proposed as effective probes for biological applications.<sup>5–8</sup> These probes can detect  $H_2S$  in aqueous solution with high sensitivity and selectivity.<sup>5–7</sup> In all of these systems, the molecule acting as the recognition element has an abiotic origin. Aiming to develop more biocompatible devices, we focused on the design of fluorescence-enzyme-based biosensors. It is well-known that  $H_2S$  can bind to heme proteins, inducing different responses that, in turn, modulate its cytotoxic and cytoprotective activities.<sup>9</sup> Thus, the first system that we devised as a fluorescent  $H_2S$  sensor makes use of a heme protein. In particular, we employed myoglobin from horse skeletal muscle (Mb).<sup>10</sup>

A limitation of our Mb monitoring system is the low amplitude of the fluorescence signals. Furthermore, by subsequent additions of H<sub>2</sub>S, Mb(Fe<sup>3+</sup>) is reduced to the ferrous form: the cuvette sample resulted in a mixture of Mb(Fe<sup>3+</sup>)–H<sub>2</sub>S, Mb(Fe<sup>2+</sup>)–H<sub>2</sub>S, and Mb(Fe<sup>2+</sup>). A similar reduction has been observed by Scheidt et al. for monohydrogen sulfide (HS<sup>-</sup>) coordination in iron porphyrinates.<sup>11</sup>

To improve the Mb-based  $H_2S$  sensor, we had to overcome some of its limitations. In the current work, we extended the

same approach to cobalt-containing peptide deformylase from *Escherichia coli* (Co-PDF). PDF's native metal cofactor is Fe<sup>II</sup>, but it can easily be replaced by other thiophilic metal ions. Whereas PDF with Fe<sup>II</sup> (Fe-PDF) is very sensitive to molecular oxygen, the variants Co-PDF, Ni-PDF, and Zn-PDF are stable.<sup>12,13</sup> PDF has the same overall structure with any of the four metals.<sup>14</sup> The active site metal is coordinated by the imidazole atoms His132N*e*2 and H136N*e*2 and the thiolate C90S $\gamma$  with trigonal-pyramidal geometry. In the resting state, one water molecule (possibly two water molecules at low pH) completes the metal coordination polyhedron. The Glu133 side chain polarizes or deprotonates one aqua ligand during formyl peptide hydrolysis. Cobalt is known to have a strong affinity for sulfur-containing ligands, as does nickel.<sup>15,16</sup> In the first instance, we focused on Co-PDF for our experiments.

To test the principal usability of the system as an optical  $H_2S$  biosensor,  $H_2S$  binding to Co-PDF was assessed via UV-visible spectroscopy. When  $H_2S$  was bubbled through a Co-PDF solution, the absorption spectrum significantly changed. Specifically, while the absorption spectrum of Co-PDF exhibits a band at 280 nm and three less intense bands centered at 320, 560, and 660 nm,<sup>17</sup>  $H_2S$  addition quenches the 560 and 660 nm bands and leads to the appearance of two new bands at 625 and 665 nm (Figure 1A and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, SI). Spectroscopic features of Co-PDF make it ideally suited for implementing a fluorescence-resonance-energy-transfer



**Figure 1.** (A) Absorption spectrum of H<sub>2</sub>S-free Co-PDF (gray) and the H<sub>2</sub>S-bound form (dark gray) and emission spectrum (dotted trace) of Atto620 ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 645 nm). Protein concentration: 125  $\mu$ M in a 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8, room temperature). (B) Fluorescence emission spectrum ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 620 nm) of Atto620-labeled H<sub>2</sub>S-free (black trace) and H<sub>2</sub>S-bound (gray trace) Co-PDF. Protein concentration: 100 nM. In this experiment, Co-PDF was labeled on the amines.

**Received:** June 26, 2012 **Published:** October 16, 2012 (FRET)-based H<sub>2</sub>S biosensor. By covalent attachment of a fluorescent label to the protein whose emission spectrum overlaps with either the 625 or 665 nm band of the protein in its H<sub>2</sub>S-bound state, the label fluorescence will be modulated by the difference in the spectral overlap between the H<sub>2</sub>S-free and H<sub>2</sub>S-bound states of Co-PDF via a FRET mechanism. That is, when the protein is in the H<sub>2</sub>S-free state, all of the energy absorbed by the label is emitted as fluorescence. On the other hand, in the H<sub>2</sub>S-bound state of Co-PDF, the label fluorescence is (partially) quenched because of FRET to the 625 or 665 nm band. The overlap of the Co-PDF absorption bands (H<sub>2</sub>S-free and H<sub>2</sub>S-bound forms) with the emission spectrum of Atto620  $(\lambda_{em} = 645 \text{ nm})$ , the dye label we selected in this study, can be judged from Figure 1A. In our first experiments, Co-PDF was covalently labeled with the Atto620 dye label on the available amine groups (N-terminus and lysines; for further details on the amine labeling, see section 1.2 in the SI). To test the system, the fluorescence intensity of labeled Co-PDF was monitored during a change from an  $H_2S$ -free to an  $H_2S$ -saturated environment. Figure 1B shows a typical fluorescence spectrum of a solution containing 100 nM of dye-labeled Co-PDF when excited at the absorption maximum ( $\lambda = 620$  nm) of the dye (Atto620). The experiment was started by flowing H<sub>2</sub>S gas into the fluorescence cuvette (i.e., in saturating conditions). A fluorescence quenching of label emission was clearly observed upon H<sub>2</sub>S addition [switching ratio (SR)  $\sim$  50%].<sup>18</sup> Under the experimental conditions tested, the H2S binding process occurs and results in a clear fluorescence switch.

When argon was bubbled through the solution of the  $H_2S$ bound form of fluorescently labeled Co-PDF, the initial fluorescence intensity value could not be restored (Figure S2 in the SI). This finding suggests that  $H_2S$  binding to Co-PDF is irreversible.

To assess whether the amount of FRET-mediated quenching of the label fluorescence varies with the concentration of the analyte, the fluorescence intensity of labeled Co-PDF was monitored after the addition of increasing amounts of KSH solution. Figure S3 in the SI shows that there is a dependence of the fluorescence intensity displayed by the Atto620-labeled Co-PDF on the KSH concentration. When a sample of Atto620labeled Co-PDF was titrated with fresh buffer, no significant changes in the fluorescence intensity were observed (Figure S4 in the SI).

The detection limit of the proposed system was found to be in the micromolar range (Figure S5 in the SI).

To obtain an indication on the selectivity of the construct, the fluorescence intensity of Atto620-labeled Co-PDF in the presence of biologically relevant and potentially competing thiols [e.g., L-cysteine (L-cys) and glutathione (GSH)] was checked. In the presence of either L-cys or GSH (Figures S6 and S7 in the SI), we observed SRs significantly lower than those found with KSH (Figure S3 in the SI).

We performed several control experiments to exclude the possible influence of other factors on the central FRET experiment (section 1.8 and Figures S8 and S9 in the SI).

The Co-PDF probe closely relates to the Mb-sensing device that we had recently reported.<sup>10</sup> In both cases, when a proper fluorescent label is covalently attached to the protein and FRET between the label and the cofactor binding site of the protein is relied upon, the fluorescent dye acts as a passive "beacon", which is "on" in the H<sub>2</sub>S-free state and "off" in the H<sub>2</sub>S-bound state of the protein. With Co-PDF as a H<sub>2</sub>S-sensing system, we propose herein SRs significantly higher than those obtained with the Mbbased system  $^{10}$  (50% vs 5%) registered. This finding represents an advantage in the case of real sensing applications.

In order to gain independent evidence for the binding of  $H_2S$  to PDF, we performed X-ray diffraction analyses on a Co-PDF single crystal incubated with  $H_2S$  (PDB entry code 4AZ4) and a Co-PDF single crystal soaked with  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (PDB entry code 4AL3). HS<sup>-</sup> replaces water and binds as fourth ligand to the Co<sup>II</sup> ion (Figure 2A). It, furthermore, weakly hydrogen



**Figure 2.** Coordination of thiolates to PDF. (A) Hydrosulfide bound to PDF in Co-PDF (hydrosulfide in yellow and water in red) and Ni-PDF (ligands in gray; distances are omitted). Water molecules alternating with hydrosulfide in the Co-PDF adduct are omitted for clarity. (B)  $\beta$ -Mercaptoethanol (ME) bound in the active site to Co<sup>II</sup> (magenta). The sulfur (yellow) of hydrosulfide or ME, respectively, coordinates Co<sup>II</sup>, hydrogen bonds to Glu133, and binds weakly to Gln50 and Ile91. The hydroxyl group of ME displaces a water molecule and clearly hydrogen bonds to Gly45. The observed oxidation of Cys90 to the sulfenic acid does not change the Co<sup>II</sup> coordination geometry (section 1.6 in the SI).

bonds to  $Gln50N\varepsilon 2$ , Ile91N, and  $Glu133O\varepsilon 1$ . The (hydroxyethyl)sulfide ligand unambiguously binds with its sulfur to the  $Co^{II}$  ion. The mercaptoethanol makes an additional hydrogen bond with its hydroxyl group to the main-chain nitrogen atom of Gly45. This interaction is conserved in other structures, e.g., by a water molecule or an ethanol (Figures 2B and Figure S10 in the SI). Not only is binding of thiols determined by the coordinative bond between cobalt and thiolate, but additional interactions allow differently tight binding. Thus, distinguishing different thiols should be possible. To improve the selectivity for H<sub>2</sub>S, residues Gly43, Leu91, and Ile44 are candidates for mutations of PDF to change the size of the active site. Even Glu133 and Gln50, which hydrogen bond to HS<sup>-</sup>, are amenable because their functionality in the deformylase reaction is not required here.

Preliminary experiments on nickel-containing PDF (Ni-PDF) as a possible way to improve the performance of our sensing device (e.g., enhancing its sensitivity and gaining reversibility) are currently underway in our laboratories. We succeeded in obtaining a HS<sup>-</sup> Ni-PDF complex in a crystalline form (PDB entry code 4AL2) and performed its crystal structure analysis (Figure 2A and section 1.6 in the SI). The overall fold and Ni<sup>II</sup> coordination are isomorphous to those of the Co-PDF complex (average rmsd 0.47 Å on  $C_a$ ). It is worth noting that only a few examples of nonbiological HS<sup>-</sup> complexes of nickel are reported.<sup>19</sup>

In order to gain insight into the possible formation of a coordination adduct between  $H_2S$  and the active-site metal ion in Co-PDF, density functional calculations (DFT) were undertaken. The active site of Co-PDF was mimicked by a truncated model comprising only the first-shell metal ligands. In particular, our model consists of a divalent cobalt ion coordinated by two

imidazole rings and a CH<sub>3</sub>S– group simulating the side chains of His132, His136, and Cys90, respectively. This resembles one model that had been previously used for DFT calculations on the activity of the enzyme.<sup>20</sup> It was previously shown<sup>17,21</sup> that in Co-PDF the metal-bound water molecule is not ionized; hence, in our model, the fourth coordination site is occupied by a water molecule.

The H<sub>2</sub>S adduct  $[(Im)_2Co(SMe)SH_2]^+$  and the HS<sup>-</sup> adduct (Im)<sub>2</sub>Co(SMe)(SH) were successfully optimized. In these structures, the coordination polyhedron is best described as distorted tetrahedral with ligand-cobalt bond distances very similar to those observed in Co-PDF (Figure S11 in the SI). In the H<sub>2</sub>S adduct  $[(Im)_2Co(SMe)SH_2]^+$ , the Co-S bond length is 2.57 Å. This is slightly longer than the experimental data of  $H_2S$ coordination compounds [ruthenium(II) complexes<sup>22-24</sup>]. In the HS<sup>-</sup> adduct (Im)<sub>2</sub>Co(SMe)(SH), the HS<sup>-</sup> anion is located at 2.32 Å from the metal center. This bond distance is very similar to the M-S bond distance in metal complexes with bridging HS<sup>-</sup> groups<sup>25,26</sup> and to that in our structure (Figure 2A). All of our attempts to obtain pentacoordinated HS<sup>-</sup> or H<sub>2</sub>S adducts in which a water molecule is present in the cobalt coordination sphere were not successful. The H<sub>2</sub>S adduct [(Im)<sub>2</sub>Co(SMe)- $SH_2$ <sup>+</sup> is predicted to be stable in our gas-phase DFT calculations. Nevertheless, its formation starting from the H<sub>2</sub>O adduct  $[(Im)_2Co(SMe)OH_2]^+$  is not favored. The substitution reaction 1 (Scheme 1) is predicted to be endergonic. The calculated

#### Scheme 1



Gibbs free-energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) is 11.3 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, the formation of a coordination adduct between HS<sup>-</sup> and our model complex is predicted to be exergonic with -24.1 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> (reaction 2, Scheme 1).

In conclusion, fluorescently labeled Co-PDF is suitable as a FRET-based probe for  $H_2S$ . The possibility of modifying Co-PDF with a fluorescent label either on the amine groups or on the only cysteine available offers a versatile system and opens the possibility of implementing more advanced sensing devices (e.g., systems carrying two different labels). It should also be mentioned that probably, in view of the presented results, the system proposed here acts as a  $H_2S$  dosimeter, which is an irreversible device that progressively accumulates the dose, each time adding up the signal.<sup>27</sup> We believe our findings can be a starting point for possible developments in the fast-growing field of biosensing and, more specifically, of  $H_2S$  detection.

### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

Experimental part, X-ray diffraction data, and DFT computational details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: mstriane@unisa.it (M.S.), palm@uni-greifswald.de (G.J.P.).

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Dr. G. W. Canters (Leiden University) for useful discussions in the design of our work.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) Smith, R. P. Am. Sci. 2010, 98, 6-9.
- (2) Smith, R. P. Can. Med. Assoc. J. 1978, 118, 775-776.

(3) Chen, C. Q.; Xin, H.; Zhu, Y. Z. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2007, 28, 1709-1716.

(4) Li, L.; Moore, P. K. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2007, 35, 1138-1141.

(5) Xuan, W.; Sheng, C.; Cao, Y.; He, W.; Wang, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2282–2284.

(6) Lippert, A. R.; New, E. J.; Chang, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10078-10080.

(7) Sasakura, K.; Hanaoka, K.; Shibuya, N.; Mikami, Y.; Kimura, Y.; Komatsu, T.; Ueno, T.; Terai, T.; Kimura, H.; Nagano, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18003–18005.

(8) Peng, H.; Cheng, Y.; Dai, C.; King, A. L.; Predmore, B. L.; Lefer, D. J.; Wang, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9672–9675.

(9) Pietri, R.; Lewis, A.; Leon, R. G.; Casabona, G.; Kiger, L.; Yeh, S. R.; Fernandez-Alberti, S.; Marden, M. C.; Cadilla, C. L.; Lopez-Garriga, J. *Biochemistry* **2009**, *48*, 4881–4894.

(10) Strianese, M.; De Martino, F.; Pellecchia, C.; Ruggiero, G.; D'Auria, S. *Protein Pept. Lett.* **2011**, *18*, 282–286.

(11) Pavlik, J. W.; Noll, B. C.; Oliver, A. G.; Schulz, C. E.; Scheidt, W. R. Inorg. Chem. **2010**, 49, 1017–1026.

(12) Yen, N. T.; Bogdanovic, X.; Palm, G. J.; Kühl, O.; Hinrichs, W. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 15, 195–201.

(13) Groche, D.; Becker, A.; Schlichting, I.; Kabsch, W.; Schultz, S.; Wagner, A. F. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1998**, *246*, 342–346.

(14) Jain, R.; Hao, B.; Liu, R. p.; Chan, M. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4558-4559.

(15) Earnshw, A.; Greenwood, N. *Chemistry of the Elements*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1989; pp 786–806.

(16) Elschenbroich, C.; Salzer, A. Organometallchemie; Teubner BG: Stuttgart, Germany, 1988; p 438.

(17) Rajagopalan, P. T.; Grimme, S.; Pei, D. Biochemistry 2000, 39, 779-790.

(18) SR stands for the switching ratio and represents the fluorescence switching registered.

(19) Pleus, J.; Waden, H.; Saak, W.; Haase, D.; Pohl, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1999**, 2601–2610 and references cited therein.

(20) Leopoldini, M.; Russo, N.; Toscano, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 1063–1072.

(21) Madison, V.; Duca, J.; Bennett, F.; Bohanon, S.; Cooper, A.; Chu, M.; Desai, J.; Girijavallabhan, V.; Hare, R.; Hruza, A.; Hendrata, S.; Huang, Y.; Kravec, C.; Malcolm, B.; McCormick, J.; Miesel, L.; Ramanathan, L.; Reichert, P.; Saksena, A.; Wang, J.; Weber, P. C.; Zhu, H.; Fischmann, T. *Biophys. Chem.* **2002**, *101–102*, 239–247.

(22) Chatwin, S. L.; Diggle, R. A.; Jazzar, R. F.; Macgregor, S. A.; Mahon, M. F.; Whittlesey, M. K. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 7695-7697.

(23) Chandrika, D.; Ma, E. S.; Rettig, S. J.; James, B. R.; Cullen, W. R. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 5426–5427.

(24) Sellmann, D.; Lechner, P.; Knoch, F.; Moll, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 922–930.

(25) Ghilardi, C. A.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A.; Scapacci, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 2909–2910.

(26) Di Vaira, M.; Midollini, S.; Sacconi, L. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 3466–3469.

(27) Duong, T. Q.; Kim, J. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6280-6301.